

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/620,410	Applicant(s) SAHR ET AL
	Examiner Árpád Fábián Kovács	Art Unit 3671

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Árpád Fábián Kovács.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen R Matthews.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Garza (5480353)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPES Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant pointed out that the drive flexibly/resiliently mounted by the element ref 34 (or ref 46), the elastomeric member, which can allow twisting, angular movement and an axial adjustment of the motor & fan shafts. Examiner's view is that Garza's ref 44 provides similar flexibility because of the cantilever support (ref 44). Applicant disagreed that element ref 44 is flexibly supporting the motor, and in a relationship as recited: "maintaining connection of the output of the motor in axially aligned relation to the input of the fan" (cl. 1) and "first axis will be substantially aligned with the second axis ... allowing a limited amount of relative axial and angular movement of the motor relative to the frame member." Applicant agreed to cancel non allowable claims 14-17, and amend claim 1, to recite in line 3, first axis, and second axis in line 6..